

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,585	10/29/2003	Bradley Engstrand	MOT-P-03-002	MOT-P-03-002 7691	
29013 75	590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER		
PATENTS+TMS, P.C.			LUU, THANH X		
2849 W. ARMITAGE AVE. CHICAGO, IL 60647			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,585	ENGSTRAND, BRADLEY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thanh X. Luu	2878		

5 , ,	LAdillilei	711 01111	
	Thanh X. Luu	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:			
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailin	·	to the final saturation of	tabana da tataa da
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ccause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· r		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	.5	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		tine also file also managed as	ant nameding the
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 21.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:	I .	/// -	~
<b>*</b>	A Section 1		
Ž.		Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 2878	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment adds language to the claims requiring further consideration and/or search.